



Physician Compensation Structures: Trends and Techniques

November 10, 2020



© 2020 PYA, P.C.

WE ARE AN INDEPENDENT MEMBER OF HLB—THE GLOBAL ADVISORY AND ACCOUNTING NETWORK

Introductions



Angie Caldwell, CPA, MBA
Principal
Compensation Valuation & Physician
Services
acaldwell@pyapc.com



pyapc.com
800.270.9629

ATLANTA | KANSAS CITY | KNOXVILLE | NASHVILLE | TAMPA



- + Overall compensation trends
- + Call pay structure and trends
- + Administrative pay structure and trends

Employment: Productivity-Based Compensation Structure



- Hospital employed - most often wRVU-based, inclusive of –
 - Base compensation
 - Productivity incentive “greater of”
 - Specialty-driven compensation per wRVU conversion factor
- Independent practice – most often net collections based
- Allows opportunity for “add-ons” –
 - Call pay
 - Administrative/medical directorship pay
 - Value-based incentives



Employment: Productivity-Based Compensation Structure Incentives and Disincentives



- Hospital employed
 - Incentivizes productivity
 - Productivity is aligned with compensation
 - Disincentivizes physicians from performing administrative duties
 - Disincentivizes physicians from facilitating recruitment
 - May lead to over coding/overusing
 - Physicians may not help control practice operational expenses

Employment: Productivity-Based Compensation Structure Incentives and Disincentives



- Independent
 - Incentivizes productivity
 - Productivity is aligned with compensation
 - Disincentivizes physicians from performing administrative duties
 - Disincentivizes physicians from facilitating recruitment
 - Physician may micromanage practice to “game” expense allocations
 - Physician penalized if payer mix is not ideal or revenue cycle is inefficient

Employment: Productivity-Based Compensation Structure Pitfalls to Avoid – Hospital Employed

- Stacked compensation – must be fair market value and commercially reasonable
- Determination of wRVUs
 - Modifier and MPPR adjusted
 - APP impact
 - 2021 MPFS Proposed Rule
- Base compensation high with no productivity floor
- Productivity-based not aligned with how physician works
- Quality incentives not measurable / meaningless
- No built-in call coverage requirement

Employment: Productivity-Based Compensation Structure Pitfalls to Avoid – Independent Practice



- Allocation of overhead
- Administrative compensation not considered
- DHS revenue distribution
 - Evenly
 - By wRVUs
 - By E/M
 - By ownership percentage
- Consideration of senior physicians
- Short-term disability, maternity, medical leave considerations
- Quality incentive/penalty consideration



Employment: Straight Salary Compensation Structure



- Gaining popularity because of its simplicity
- Opportunity for “add-ons” is more limited (adds complexity) -
 - Call pay often embedded with requirement established in advance
 - Administrative/medical directorship pay included and not separate
 - Value-based incentives
- Incentivizes role



Employment: Straight Salary Compensation Structure



Pitfalls to Avoid

- Hospital employed – must be fair market value and commercially reasonable
- Components sum to the whole
- Annual documentation and analysis
 - Role fulfilled as designed?
- Physician “reward” is delayed
- Fewer opportunities for adjustment



Employment: Shift Based Compensation Structure

- Works best with hospital-based specialties
- Additional compensation -
 - Extra shifts
 - Add-on differentials
 - Administrative/medical directorship pay
 - Value-based incentives
- Incentivizes role/coverage

Employment: Shift Based Compensation Structure Pitfalls to Avoid



- Hospital based – must be fair market value and commercially reasonable
- Lack of standardization
- Poorly defined minimum work standards
- Differential “menus”/complexity
- Value-based incentive – group vs. individual



Employment: Hybrid Compensation Structure



- Works best with hospital-based specialties
 - Adapts to the way the physician works daily
- Elements of shift-based and productivity-based models
 - Base shift rate plus productivity incentive based on wRVUs (or ASA units)
- Additional compensation -
 - Add-on differentials
 - Administrative/medical directorship pay
 - Value-based incentives
- Incentivizes role/coverage/productivity

Employment: Hybrid Compensation Structure

Pitfalls to Avoid

- Hospital based – must be fair market value and commercially reasonable
- Poorly defined minimum work standards
- Impact of APP on productivity
- Differential “menus”/complexity
- Value-based incentive – group vs. individual

Co-Management Structure



- Elements include -
 - Hospital/health system + independent physicians (one group or many groups)
 - May or may not necessitate the formation of a separate entity
 - Co-management of an entire service line (administrative pay) plus incentives for clinical quality metrics (quality pay)
 - Most often occurs when hospital/health system does not have solid integration in a particular service offering
 - Most likely service lines: cardiology, orthopedics, urology
 - Incentivizes integration without employment

Co-Management Structure - Pitfalls to Avoid



- Poorly defined administrative duties
 - Duties not necessary
 - Too many hours
 - Physician involvement not required
- Poorly defined quality metrics
 - Too many/too few
 - Complex or inability to measure
 - Payouts without improvement
- Overly complex allocation structures
- May be more difficult to assess fair market value and commercial reasonableness



PSA Structure



- Elements include -
 - Hospital/health system + independent physician or independent group
 - Types of PSAs vary widely
 - Most often: hospital-based coverage models
 - Occurs to fill a service need
 - Turn-key arrangements
 - Incentivizes integration without employment

PSA Structure - Pitfalls to Avoid



- Poorly defined structure
 - Administrative
 - Clinical
 - Quality incentives
- Lack of reconciliation or support for financial assistance
- Over or under assessment of benefits, malpractice insurance, and overhead expenses



Compensation Trends - Overall

- More consideration for quality incentives
 - Bonus only vs. at-risk
 - Bundles
 - Primary care/panel management
 - Well-designed metrics (real work vs. busy work)
- Simple, yet customized
 - Recognition of work-life balance
- Telemedicine
- External impacts
 - COVID-19
 - 2021 MPFS Proposed Rule – potential long-term market adjustments
- Recognition of APP impact on physician productivity



Call Pay – Structures and Trends



- Well-defined call panels and understanding of need
- Payment for excess call only
- Fewer agreements with indigent care add-on
- Concurrent panels/multiple locations
- At-risk component for engagement and quality milestones

Administrative Pay – Structure and Trends



- Understanding of need
 - Cannot use administrative pay to “make a physician whole”
- Documentation of hours worked and effort
- Annual performance reviews
- Physician executives
- At-risk component for engagement and quality milestones



Questions?

